```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 8
                            DISTRICT OF OREGON
 9
                            PORTLAND DIVISION
10
11
12
                                                   No. 3:10-cv-01044-HU
  JERRI BOON,
13
                                                      OPINION AND ORDER
                   Plaintiff,
14
        VS.
15
  UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CO.,
   et al.,
16
                   Defendants.
17
18
19
  Jerri Boon
   12435 SW Pioneer Ln. #A101
20
  Beaverton, OR 97008
   Telephone: (503) 406-7819
21
        Pro Se Plaintiff
22
   David W. Silke
23 Sarah N. Turner
   Gordon & Rees LLP
24 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2100
   Seattle, WA 98104
  Telephone: (206) 695-5115
   Facsimile: (206) 689-2822
26
        Of Attorneys for Defendant
27
        Union Pacific Railroad
28
  Page 1 - OPINION AND ORDER
```

Case 3:10-cv-01044-HU Document 103 Filed 10/03/12 Page 1 of 2

## HUBEL, J.,

2

3

9

11

15

20

24

2.5

26

27

Defendant Union Pacific moved to dismiss pro se plaintiff Jerry Boon's Amended Complaint on April 26, 2012. After failing to meet her original response deadline, the Court granted Boon's belatedly filed motion for an extension of time to file a response brief. Ultimately, Boon filed her response on June 21, 2012, and Union Pacific filed its reply on July 5, 2012. That same day, the Court took Union Pacific's motion to dismiss under advisement.

Since then, Boon has filed three additional pleadings that pertain to Union Pacific's motion to dismiss. Specifically, Boon filed declarations on July 23, August 24, and September 28, 12 respectively. Union Pacific has moved to strike 13 declarations on the grounds that they are repetitive and Boon did 14 not seek leave to allege any additional facts. The Court agrees with Union Pacific. These filings explicitly incorporate 16 Plaintiff's response filed on June 21, 2012. Each subsequent filing by Boon incorporates each previous filing, thus adding nothing of substance to the briefing. I grant Union Pacific's motion to strike the declarations Boon filed on July 23 (Docket No. 96), August 24 (Docket No. 98), and September 28 (Docket No. 100). See LR 7-1(e)(3). No further filings or briefing may be filed by any party with respect to Union Pacific's April 26, 2012 motion to dismiss without the express leave of the court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 3rd day of October, 2012.

/s/ Dennis J. Hubel

DENNIS J. HUBEL United States Magistrate Judge

28